

FOR MODEL-VALIDATION TEAMS

Numerical validation.

This note summarises the automated numerical-validation evidence behind the Bellman DSGE solver stack. It is written for the reader who would otherwise interrogate the test suite directly. Full methodology is in the Engine SOP.

Solver core – validated against closed-form solutions

The platform implements two canonical linear rational-expectations solvers, each tested against analytic solutions with closed-form answers:

COMPONENT	METHOD	VALIDATION
QZ solver	Klein (2000) generalized Schur	Matches closed-form NK stable manifold to 1e-8 ; recovers the correct generalized eigenvalues ($\rho, 1/\beta$).
Gensys solver	Sims (2002) with η expectational errors	Phillips + AR(1) IRF matches closed form to 1e-6 ; impact and trajectory verified.
Module pipeline	Declarative composition → canonical form → gensys	Closed-economy NK monetary & demand shocks reproduce textbook signs and AR(1) decay (Galí, 2008, ch. 3).

Fails loudly, never silently

The solvers are engineered to **report** ill-posed configurations rather than return plausible-looking but wrong numbers. The test suite explicitly verifies that:

- a system with more unstable roots than jump variables returns `noStableSolution` (with the eigenvalue counts);
- a passive Taylor rule ($\phi_\pi < 1$) is reported as **indeterminate**, not solved;
- too few expectational errors to absorb the unstable subspace is reported, not silenced.

What v1's live dashboard computes today – and the honest caveat

The validated QZ/gensys engine above is the platform's solver foundation. The v1 production dashboard additionally uses a reduced-form recursive simulator for the shipping small-open-economy model, with calibrated proxies for theoretical moments, variance decomposition, and fan-chart band widths. The **Engine SOP** documents exactly which figures are analytically exact and which are approximations, in full, with source references.

Disclosure is the feature. Bellman DSGE ships its limitations in writing. If a precise quantity is load-bearing for a published conclusion, re-derive it in a full state-space solver and cite both — the platform's v1 strength is speed of exploration with honest provenance.

Test files: `QZSolverTests`, `SimsSolverTests`, `LinearDsggeSystemTests`, `ModulePipelineTests`, `ParameterValidatorTests`. *Methodology:* Engine SOP chapters 02–05.